PENTAGON STUDIES U.S. GLOBAL MIGHT

Refuses to Release Report on Maintaining Hegemony

> By E. W. KENWORTHY Special to The New York Times

WASHINGTON, Fcb. 15 The Department of Defense has refused a request of Senator J. W. Fulbright to declassify, and make public a secret study on how the United States can maintain world hegemony in . the future.

The study, completed two years ago by the Douglas Aircraft Corporation under Army sponsorship, was originally entitled "Pax Americana." Later it was titled "Strategic Alignments and Military Objectives," The study cost \$89,500.

Last Nov. 29, Mr. Fulbright, chairman of the Senate Foreign Relations Committee, asked the Defense Department to declase sify the document. He said hawas making the request because the document involved foreign policy and "the impor-

tant conclusions of the report" were in agreement with "so many recent statements made by Government officials."

He did not specify what these statements were and was not available for comment today.

In a reply on Dec. 14, Paul C. Warnke, Assistant Secretary of Defense for International Security Affairs, said that "a great deal" of the material used by Douglas Aircraft in 'the study was avallable "in public media." But he said that the Defense Department was opposed to making the study public and would be opposed even if the classified material were deleted.

Mr. Warnke wrote to the Arkansas Democrat, "If the hypotheses, suggestions or conclusions contained in the study were construed future pollcy of the United States, the study would be susceptible to misinterpretations and could produce scrious repercussions abroad.

This refusal apparently ended Mr. Fulbright's entert, begun last October, to have the study made public. The study has been made available to him and he, in turn, has permitted other Senators to read mitted other Senators to read the Douglas Aircraft Corporation which completed the reended Mr. Fulbright's effort,

Purpose Is Explained

In an earlier letter, Mr. sions, in February, 1966. The Warnke had explained the pri-report was stamped "secret." mary purpose of the study as 'to stimulate long-range plan-

One Senator who has read after publication.
the bulky report said today. Last Oct. 13 Mr. Fulbright "Some of its conclusions arc wrote to Secretary of Defense the conclusions were.

However, a student of for-eign affairs who also read the ler, Assistant Secretary of De-

Rand Corporation, the Institute ercised special discretion in for Defense Analysis and the making contracts. The final re-Research Analysis Corporation. port was classified for these military objectives and align-habout \$10-million goes to unisame reasons. ments over the next 20 years, versities and their related re-search organizations.

About \$500,000 goes to industrial concerns that, largely for prestige reasons, maintain views or policies of the Desocial science research depart-partment of Defense." ments. This was how Douglas

The story of that study began on April 29, 1965.

Business Daily, a publication copy of the contract and "sup-of the Commerce Department plementary correspondence,"

required, to perform a research of the validity of each of the study entited 'Pax Americana' general conclusions."

Mr. Fulbright also asked for the following: (A) elements of national power; (B) ability 1965, 1966 and 1967, and the of selected nations to apply contractors and sums involved. The sum of the elements of national power of the elements of national power.

"Mr. Fulbright also asked for are to accompilish their assigned duties." He added that this led him to suggest "that it might be desirable to declassify the elements of national power."

"Mr. Fulbright also asked for are to accompilish their assigned duties." He added that this led him to suggest "that it might be desirable to declassify the elements of national power."

Noticed by Hartke

tion, which completed the re-port, after several time exten-

Some months ago Senator Vance Hartke, Democrat of Inners to speculate on the impact diana, called Mr. Fullbrights aton national security policies tention to the bid invitation in and requirements that various the Commerce Business Dally. It alternative future world align-could not be learned how it came to his attention so long

scary—at least, they scare Robert S. McNamara for a copy me." He declined to say what of "a study entitled 'Pax Americana.'"

study, while conceding that some of the conclusions were some of the conclusions were sent the Senator a copy with a "scary," said, "The study reads covering letter saying that the like a freshman paper."

About \$14-million goes to the Federal Research Contract Center, embracing such defense "think tanks" as the Think Corporation the Institute and compared the study only within the continued States and contract the Institute and Corporation the Ins

"It should be clearly understood that the study's conclu- make official estimates of what sions and postulated objectives do not necessarily reflect the

department's views 'as to the desirability of declassifying this On that day the Commerce study." He also requested a listing contract awards and invitations to bld on such contracts, printed the following has made of the study and, m: has made of the study and, "Services and materials, as specifically, an official estimate

the elements of national power; the elements of national power which the average American basis for the United States to clittee would believe have a maintain world hegemony in substantial relationship to the foreign, policy of the United States.



United Press International Senator J. W. Fulbright

like a freshman paper."

The Pentagon spends about Stempler continued:

\$25-million a year on what is called "social science" research.

About \$14-million goes to United States or forcing court the study's purpose as stimuthe study's purpose as stimulating planners to speculate on the national security aspects of future world alignments.

He said that, because the general conclusions dealt with "it would be inappropriate to their validity may be now."
As for declassification, Mr.

Warnke said:

"Though much of the matecame to do the "Pax Ameri-wrote Mr. Stempler asking the from unclassified sources, the study should be classified due to the foreign policy sensitivity of the material when attribution is made to the United States Army.

"Information Law Cited

Mr. Fulbright replied on Nov. 29, saying that he agreed with Mr. Warnke's reasoning that "the broader the exposure" of are to accompilish their assigned duties." He added that this led him to suggest "that it might be desirable to declassify the report so that it would be available to a larger audience."

Approved For Release 2001/07/26 : CIA-RDP70B00338R000200010058-2

Furthermore, Mr. Fulbright ontinued, declassification continued, declassification would be consistent with the "freedom of information" law "freedom of information" law Congress passed last session, "which prohibits the withholding of such governmental information from the public." Especially, the report should be "in the public domain," Mr. Fulbright said, because of the similarity of its conclusions to recent statements by officials.

similarity of its conclusions to recent statements by officials.
On Dec. 14 Mr. Warnke replied that the freedom of information law "excludes matters that are specifically 'required by executive order to be kept secret in the interest of national defense or foreign policy."

Still Favors Disclosure

Still Favors Disclosure

On Jan. 11 Mr. Fulbright wrote Senator Hartke, saying that Mr. Warnke "has apparently concluded that declassification of this study would jeopardize the international relations of the United States."

Nevertheless, he said, he still believed that results of such research, paid for by public funds, should be made public in so far as possible. He especially thinks so, he sald, "when that research deals with the long-term costs in money and manpower of an American foreign policy, in which our power is committed to the maintenance of a "Pax Americana or some variation thereof."

"Too often," Mr. Fulbright concluded, "the American public has had to be satisfied by statements from the Administration which play variations of the theme: If the public just knew what the Administration knows, the public would agree with the policies of the Administration. I believe this theme pressed too far can destroy democratic control of foreign policy."